APPEAL

TO THE

SERIOUS AND CANDID

PROFESSORS OF CHRISTIANITY.

ON THE FOLLOWING SUBJECTS, VIZ.

- The Use of Reason in Matters of Religion.
- II. The Power of Man to do the Will of Gop.
- III. Original Sin.
- Election and Reprobation.
 The Divinity of Christ. And,
- VI. Atonement for Sin by the Death of Christ.

Br JOSEPH PRIESTLEY, LL.D. F. R. S. &c.

TO WHICH ARE ADDED.

A concife History of the Rife of those Doctrines;

AND AN ACCOUNT OF

THE TRIAL OF MR. ELWALL! FOR HERESY AND BLASPHEMY, AT STAFFORD ASSIZES.

To us there is one God, the FATHER; and one Mediator, the MAN 1 Cor. viii. 6 .- 1 Tim. ii. 5. CHRIST JESUS.

PHILADELPHIA, PRINTED BY THOMAS DOBSON. AT THE STONE HOUSE, Nº 41, SOUTH SECOND-STREET. M, DCC, XCIV.

Published by the same AUTHOR,

- 1. An ILLUSTRATION of texts of Scripture relating to the subjects of this Appeal.
- 2. A GENERAL VIEW OF ARGUMENTS against the Divinity, and Pre-existence of Christ, from Reason, from the Scriptures, and from History.
- 3. The History of Early opinions concerning Jesus Christ, 4 vols. 8vo.
- 4. The History of the Corruptions of Christia-NITY, 2 vols. Svo.

cip tha nat

pea wh

rian they lide

Sithat

the c dence and a

PREFACE

TO

THE AMERICAN EDITION.

MAX MA MAKE AND A PARK A PARK

an alarm that has been taken at my religious principles, as an unitarian christian, and being persuaded that it has arisen from nothing but an ignorance of their nature and tendency, I have thought proper to reprint a small trast, of which more than sifty thousand have been disposed of in England, but which does not appear to be known here. It contains a short account of whatever can be thought most dangerous in the unitarian principles, together with the reasons on which they are founded; and when they are attentively considered, I am persuaded that, if they do not give satisfaction to the candid and impartial with respect to their truth, they will with respect to their innecence.

Since all persons must, I think, agree in maintaining that opinions are of no consequence but as they influence the practice, what danger can reasonably be apprehended from any system of religion, which contains the doctrines of the being, the persections, and providence of God, the divine missions of Moses, and of Jesus, and a state of righteous retribution after death, which all

A 2 unitarians

unitarians hold? Compared with these great articles. nothing besides can be of much practical importance. For these are the doctrines that most eminently lead to a good life and conversation, to form the good man, and the good citizen, the man who will be exemplary,

in every relation of life.

It is, however, of fecondary, and of confiderable importance, that religion appear reasonable to thinking men. Otherwise, they will, without any farther examination, reject the whole. And on this account the unitarian christian presumes that his system has great advantages over every other form of christianity, and therefore that the real friends of it are under considerable obligation to him. For at the fame time that, with other christians, he believes every thing that has the least favourable aspect on moral conduct, he holds nothing at which the reason that God has given to man can justly revolt, fuch as the doctrines of transubstantiation and of the trinity, which men have been required to believe though they could not understand them, and with respect to which it is said that reason must be facrificed to faith.

Such doctrines as thefe, together with those of wicarious suffering, arbitrary election and reprobation, and eternal torments, will not long be retained in this age of free inquiry. And furely the most orthodox chiltian, as he will think himself, if he be a friend to virtue, would chuse that a person should believe only as much as an unitarian does, rather than have no religion at all; and this, if he know any thing of the world, he must be tensible will be the only alterna-

tive with thousands.

No person, however, who professes a regard to truth will take umbrage at the freest discussion of any the oretical principles. For this must be favourable to truth. And, happily, in this truly free country, cin hence,

govern

fti

fri

ma

to

bo

pre

dia

tha

pre

una

of (

cons

Beri

pulp

which

to th

ducir

whic

vour

and t

anoth

of mu

Ti

government does not interfere with the business of religion, so that free inquiry will meet with no obstruction to its producing its natural and happy effect. May the God of truth, by this and every other means lead us into all truth. Every man may be supposed sincere in adopting this prayer. For how can it be the interest of any man to maintain an error?

THOUGH the government of England, in frict alliance with an established church, be very unfriendly to free inquiry, yet what we call liberality has made greater progress in that country than it appears to have done in this. At the request of a numerous body of members of the church of England, I once preached, though not in a confectured place, immediately after the clergyman had read the fervice of that church. The very first congregation to which I preached after the riots in Birmingham, and this at the unanimous request of the minister and people, was one of Calvinistic Baptists; and, at my proposal, my own congregation at Birmingham, once requested Mr. Berington, a Roman Catholic priest, to preach in my pulpit. All christians have topics with respect to which they are agreed, and on these they can enlarge, to the edification of other christians, without introducing any thing that could give them the least offence, which every man who wishes to do good, will endeayour to avoid.

Time, however, is requisite to produce every effect, and true liberality among those who differ from one another is a plant of slow growth, as every thing else of much value is. But I doubt not that, some time hence, the same amiable liberality, and generous considence

dence, that prevails among the different fects of christians in England, will prevail here, and in a still higher degree. Nothing on my part shall be wanting to bring about so desirable an end. I owe much, and hope to owe more, to this happy country; and every thing that I can, in any respect, do for it in return, will ever be due from me to it.

Philadelphia, June 30, 1794.

An

An Appeal to the Serious and candid Professors of Christianity.

My. CHRISTIAN BRETHREN.

ERMIT one who professes obedience to the same Lord, and faith in the precious promites of the fame gospel with yourselves, to address himself to you, with all freedom and plainness of speech, on subjects relating to our common falvation. I need not tell you that these subjects are interesting. In reality nothing else is interesting in comparison with them. For what is this world compared with the future! What is time compared with eternity! Believe me, my Brethren, it is nothing but the deepest concern for the honour of a religion which is the most valuable inheritance of the human race, and which fets us above all the follies and vices, all the weaknesses and troubles of life, by giving us the most solid hope in death, that has induced me to solicit your attention. But I am confident that you will not think it ill bestowed, because it is upon a fubject that is near and dear to you, and the confideration of which cannot but please and profit you.

If, by the bleffing of God upon our common endeavours to lead, and to be led into all truth, I shall be so happy as to bring you to entertain the fame views of these things with myfelf, we shall rejoice together; and if, after all that I may be able to advance, you should still think differently from me, I trust you will, at least, be disposed to think with more candour of fome of your fellow-christians, who leve the gospel, and are zealous for its honour, though you may think them mistaken in their conceptions concerning Let me intreat you, therefore, my brethren, to give

me a patient and candid hearing. Attend, in the spirit of meekness, to what I shall say from the earnestness of my heart; and exercise the reason which God has given you upon this occasion, which is the noblest on which it can be exercised, and for which you may, therefore, conclude, that it was principally given you.

I. Of the Use of Reason in Matters of Religion.

B E not backward, or afraid, my brethren, to make use) of your reason in matters of religion, or where the fcriptures are concerned. They both of them proceed from the fame God and Father of us all, who is the giver of every good and every perfect gift. They cannot, therefore, be contrary to one another, but must mutually illustrate and enforce one another. Besides, how can we diffinguish one scheme of religion from another, so as to give the preference to that which is the most deserving of it, but by the help of our reason and understanding? What would you yourselves say to a Mahometan, whom you would perfuade to abandon the imposture of Mahomet, and embrace christianity, but bid him make use of his reason; and judge, by the help of it, of the manifest difference between the two religions, and the great superiority of yours to his? Does not God himself appeal to the reason of man, when he condescends to ask us, Whether his ways be not equal? Ezek. xviii. 29. Does not the apostle exhort. us that, in understanding we be men? 1 Cor. xiv. 20. Are we not expressly commanded to prove all things, and then hold fast that which is good? I Thest. v. 21. Also, when we are commanded to fearch the scriptures, (John v. 39.) more must be meant than merely reading them, or receiving implicitly the interpretations of others. Searching must imply an earnest endeavour to find out for ourselves, and to understand, the truths contained in the scriptures; and what faculty can we employ for this purpose, but that which is commonly called reason, whereby we are capable of thinking, reflecting, comparing, and judging of things? Distrust,

Distrust, therefore, all those who decry human reason, and who require you to abandon it, wherever religion is When once they have gained this point with you, they can lead you whither they please, and impose upon you every abfurdity which their finister views may make it expedient for them that you should embrace. A Popish Priest would require nothing more than this, to make believe the doctrine of transubstantiation, and that a man is infallible; or to purfuade you to commit the most flagrant wickedness, as a means of doing God service. For the first of these articles they do not fail to urge the words of scripture, which expressly fay, concerning the bread that is used in the Lord's supper, that it is the body of Christ, Mat. xxvi. 6. and there is no possibility of replying to them, but by appealing to reason, as the necessary and proper judge of the fense of scripture. The Papist, therefore, as might well be expected, is forward, on all occasions, to villify human reason, and to require men to abandon it; but true Protestants will not part with it. It is by the help of reason, in conjunction with the scriptures, that we guard ourselves against the gross delusions of the Papists, who, after relinquishing reason, have been made to believe a lie ; and by the diligent and continued use of the same power, let us endeavour to combat every remaining error, and trace out, and reform, every corruption of christianity, till we hold the pure truth as it is in Jesus, and obey it in the love thereof.

Do not think that, by recommending the use of reason, I am about to decry the scriptures. My appeal shall be to both, upon every subject on which I address you; and I think you cannot but see that the plainest and most obvious sense of the scriptures is in favour of those doctrines which are most agreeable to reason. A good man will rejoice to see them thus go hand in hand,

mutually illustrating and enforcing one another.

II. Of the Power of Man to do the Will of God.

NE of the fubjects with respect to which I earnestly wish that you would attend to the voice of reason and the scriptures, and with respect to which, one mistake will be followed by many others, and mistakes of great confequence, is concerning the power of man to do the will of God. It is a favourite opinion with many teachers of religion, that men have naturally (or by that constitution and frame which God their maker hath given them) no power at all to do any thing that is good, not even to think a good thought, much less actually to chey any of the commands of God; fo that, if men were left to themfelves, they could do nothing but fin, and must be under a necessity of aggravating their condemnation, by every thought, word, and action of their lives. But, my brethren, how does this doctrine agree with the scriptures, and particularly with the manner in which the Divine Being constantly expostulates with the finful fons of men; as when he fays to the Jews, Turn ye, turn ye from your coil coars, who will we ale, O Finale of Ifinel. Frek. XXXIII. 11. Wall ve, make you clean. Ceufe to do evil, learn to do well. Sc. Sc. Sc. Ifa. i. 16.

Is it not plain from this, that it depends upon men themfelves, whether they will repent and turn to God or not? And how can it depend upon themselves, if they have not naturally, a fufficient power to do it? You cannot think that God would command, and expect obedience, when he had not given power to obey; and much lefs that he would urge men to provide for their own fafety and happiness, when himself had put an effectual bar in the

way of it.

Suppose that any man's children were shut up in a building that was on fire, while he himfelf was without, and had the key; and that instead of opening the door, to favour their escape, he should only call out to them to flee out of the place, in order to avoid inftant destruction; and that, as the necessary consequence of this, they should all perifit

perish in the flames before his eyes; what would you think of of fuch a father? You would want words to express your abhorrence of his cruelty; and yet in this very light do many christian divines represent the conduct of that God whose tender mercies are over all his works, and who has folemnly declared, that he bath no pleasure in the death of a finner, but rather that he would turn from his way and live (Ezek. xxxiii. II.) yea, who would have all men to be faved, 1 Tim. 11. 4.

The conduct of our merciful God and Father, is certainly far different from this, and more agreeable to reason and equity. If he defigned us to be accountable creatures, and treats us as fuch, we must have talents given us, which we may either improve or misimprove. If we be the subjects of his moral government, we must be in a condition either to observe, or to break his laws. A power to do the one, necesfarily supposes a power to do the other; and without this power we should not be the proper subjects of religion; as, in that case, it would be in vain to propose to us either rewards for obedience, or punishments for disobedience.

Nor is the fupposition of a power in man to do the the will of God, any foundation for pride. For we must still fay, with the apostle, What have we that we have not received? and how then can we glory, as if we had not received it; Every good and every perfect gift comes from God; and, knowing this, the more we receive of his bounty, the more thankful, and the more humble, we should be. I shall, certainly, be more folicitous to exert myself in doing the will of God, when I believe that I have a talent to improve, than if I believe that I have no talent intrusted with me at all; fo that I cannot do even fo much as the wicked and flothful servant, who hid his talent in a napkin.

Some of those persons who believe that all mankind are absolutely incapable of doing any good, are sometimes heard to invite finners of all kinds to come to Christ, as they are, and to fay, that the viler they are, the more welcome they will be to him; as if he was, after this, to cleanfe them by fome miraculous power. But, my brethren, the invitation of the gospel runs in very

B 3

different terms. It is, Repent, and bring forth fruits meet for repentance, Matt. iii. 8. Repent, and be converted that your fins may be blotted out. Acts iii. 19. And none are invited to come to Christ, but those who labour and are heavy laden; nor can they find rest for their souls, till they have actually learned of him to be meek and lowly in heart. Matt. xi. 28.

What can be more contrary to the maxims above-mentioned, than the whole tenor of that ferious expostulation with the children of Israel in the prophet Isaiah, part of which I quoted above? Wash you, make you clean, put away the evil of your doings from before mine eyes. Cease to do evil, learn to do well. Seek judgment, relieve the oppressed, judge the fatherless, plead for the widow. Come now (and not before) and let us reason together, says the Lord. Though your sims be as fearlet, they shall be white as snow; though they be red like crimson, they shall be as wool. Isa. i. 16. &c.

Others, who entertain the same opinion of the utter inability of man to do the will of God, act more consistently with those sentiments, but far more inconsistently with the scriptures, in never preaching to sinners at all; though to call sinners to repentance was the chief end of Christ's

coming into the world. Matt. ix. 13.

Whatever represents a state of acceptance with God, as a thing that may be brought about without any efforts of our own, and especially if it may be done in a moment, or in a short space of time, is sure to be a popular doctrine. Mankind in general care not how little is expected of them, or how little they themselves have to do in order to get to heaven. But true religion, that alone which affords solid ground of hope towards God, consists in a change of heart, affections, and habits; which can only be brought about by serious resolution, and a vigorous and constant exertion of our powers. Nay, unless a course of virtue be begun, and good habits formed, early in life, there is very great danger that the thorns, briars, or bad soil, will prevent the good seed from ever coming to maturity.

To believe, as the fame persons do, that faith and repentance are nothing that we ourselves are capable of, but altogether the miraculous operation of the Spirit of God in us and upon us, supposes that this great and sudden change may as well take place at the last hour of life, as at any other; which certainly encourages the most unwarrantable and most dangerous presumption, and is far from having any countenance in the scriptures. The word of God always represents a safe and happy death as the consequence of nothing but a good and well spent life. Some, indeed, are said to have been called at the eleventh hour, but none at the twelfth, when the time for labouring in the vineyard was quite over; and not one of the solith virgins, who had neglected to provide themselves with oil, was admitted to the marriage-supper.

. III. Of Original Sin.

As a foundation for this strange doctrine, of the utter inability of men to do what God requires of them, a doctrine so injurious both to our Maker and ourselves, it is said that, by his first offence, our first parent Adam, and all his posterity, lost all power of doing any thing acceptable to God for the future; that he was the representative of all his posterity; so that when he sinned, we all sinned; and, every sin being an offence against an infinite God, we all became, from that moment, liable to an infinite punishment, even the everlasting wrath and curse of our Maker. And they say, that on this account only, it would have been just in God to have made us all suffer the most exquisite and endless torments in hell, even though we had never sinned in our own persons.

But, my brethren, you find nothing like any part of this in your bibles. For there you read, The foul that finneth, it shall die. Ezek. xviii. 4. And long after the transgression of Adam, and to this very day, God is continually calling upon men to cease to do evil, and learn to do well; which certainly supposes that men always have had, and that we now have, a power to do so. It is allowed that we suffer by the sin of Adam, as any child may suffer in consequence of the wickedness of his ancestor;

but it is not possible that we should have sinned in him. Wherever there is fin, there is guilt, that is, fomething that may be the foundation of remorfe of conscience; something that a man may be forry for, and repent of; fomething that he may wish he had not done; all which clearly implies, that fin is something that a man has given his confent to, and therefore must be convinced of the reasonableness of his being punished for. But how can any man repent of the fin of Adam, or feel any thing like remorfe of conscience for it; when he cannot but know that he never gave his confent to it, and could not possibly have been, in the least degree, accessary to it? Good and bad conduct are, in their own nature, personal, and cannot possibly be transferred from one to another. some divines pretend, nothing of this kind can be imputed in this fense of the word. We may receive harm by means of one person, and benefit by means of another, but no fin of the former, or righteousness of the latter, can be confidered as ours, in the eye of an equitable and just God. The contrary is as much the language, and the plain meaning, of the scriptures throughout, as it is agreeable to the common sense and reason that God has given us.

IV. Of Election and Reprobation.

Supposing that all mankind became liable to the everlasting wrath and curse of God for the sin of one man, some divines say, that it was mercy in God to save any, though by an arbitrary decree, which left all the rest of the human race under an inevitable necessity of perishing. But certainly, my brethren, such tender mercy is cruelty. All the creatures of God must look up to him as the author of their being, since it was, undoubtedly, in his power to give, or to withhold it, at his pleasure; and, surely, a good and merciful God would have put a stop to the propagation of such a race of creatures, rather than suffer them to be born in such shocking circumstances; in which he infallibly foresaw, that the greatest part of them

must be exposed to, and even actually suffer, remediless destruction. As surely as I derive my being from a just and merciful God, I conclude that the terms on which I came into the world are advantageous to me; and therefore, that it must be my own fault only, if I have not reason to rejoice in it, and to be thankful for it. But, indeed, I can hardly think that any man seriously believes, that the greatest part of his fellow-creatures are born into the world under a predetermined necessity of being for ever miserable. For, in that case, it must appear probable that any children which he himself may be the means of bringing into the world will be for ever miserable; and surely no man of real goodness or compassion would wish to have children, or be accessary to their being born, in such circumstances.

If this doctrine be true, what motive can any man have to endeavour to flee from the wrath to come. Matt. iii. 7. when, if it is to be his lot at all, nothing that he can do will enable him to escape it; or what motive can a man have to exert himself to lay hold on eternal life, I Tim. vi. 12. When, if he is to enjoy it at all, he cannot possibly miss of it, or of any thing belonging to it, or that is necessary to prepare him for it? What reason had the apost the Paul to exhort Christians to take heed lest they should fall, I Cor. x. 12. when none that ever did stand could possibly fall? and what reason had he to labour, lest after having preached to others, he himself should be a cost away, I Cor. ix. 27. when, being certain of his conversion, he must have known that that consequence was impossible?

This doctrine, of absolute election and reprobation, is certainly a doctrine of licentiousness, and not a doctrine according to godliness; and let divines employ all the ingenuity they are masters of, it is impossible for them to clear this opinion from being the cause of fatal despair in some, and as fatal a security in others. If this opinion were true, and men were really aware of their situation, I should think it impossible to prevent their falling into absolute distraction, through terror and anxiety. It would be like a man having his all, his life, nay, infinitely more than

his life, depending upon the cast of a die; the decree of God being a thing that he has as little power to command. Besides, this doctrine certainly represents the God and father of us all in such a light, as no man would chase that he himself should appear in.

V. Of the Divinity of Christ.

O fatal have the consequences of the fin of Adam been represented, that you have been told, that nothing but the blood of God himself could reverse them; and therefore you have been taught to believe, that Jefus Christ, whose proper title is the fon of man, as well as the fon of God, was not merely man, but very and eternal God himself; without considering that, by thus making more Gods than one, you are guilty of a breach of the first and most important of all the commandments, which fays expressly, Thou shalt have no other Gods before me, Exodus xx. 9. But whatever fuch divines may fay, the apostle Paul says, in direct contradiction to them, To us there is but one God, the FATHER, of whom are all things; and one Lord Jefus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him, I Cor. viii. 6. And again, after faying that we have one Lord, one faith, one baptism, he adds, one God and Father of all, who is above all, and through all, and in you all, Eph. iv. 5, 6. The creed of all christians, therefore, ought to be, There is ONE GOD, and one mediator between God and men, the MAN Christ Jesus, I Tim. ii. 5.

The Father is frequently stiled God, even with respect to Christ, as well as other beings. The God of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of Glory, give unto you, that ye may know the exceeding greatness of his power, which he wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead, and set him at his own right hand, &c. Eph. 1. 17. &c. Christ himself uses the same language. I ascend unto my Father, and your Father, and unto my God, and your God, John xx. 17. My

God, my God, why hast thou for faken me?

Christ

f

Christ who was the image of the invisible God, and the firstborn (or most excellent) of all his creatures, Col. i. 15. and in whom dwelt all the fullness of the Godhead bodily, Col. ii. 9. acknowledged that his Father was greater than be, John xiv. 28. and indeed, upon all occasions, and in the clearest terms, he expressed his dependence upon God his father, for all his power and glory; as if he had purposely intended to guard his disciples against forming too high an opinion of the dignity of their mafter. Verily I fay unto you. the Son can do nothing of kimfe f, John v. 19. I can of mine own felf do nothing. As I hear I judge, and my judgment is just, because I feek not mine own will, but the will of the Father who fent me, ver. 30. The words that I speak unto you, I speak not of myfelf, but the Father who dwelleth in me, he doth the works, xiv. 10. I live by the Father, vi. 57. The Father hath given to the fon to have life in himself; and hath given him authority to execute judgment, v. 26, 27. All power is given unto me, in beaven and in earth, Matt. xxvii. 18. He even calls his Father the only true God, John xvii. 3. that they might know thee, the only true God, and Jefus Christ whom thou hast fent. It appears to me not to be in the power of language to exclude the idea of the divinity of Christ more expressly than by these solemn words.

Notwithstanding the divine communications with which our Lord was favoured, some things are expressly said to be withheld from him. For he himself, speaking of his second coming, says, Mark xiii. 32. But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no not the angels which are in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father. In Matt. xxiv. 36. where the same observation is repeated, it is, but my Father only.

2

e v

50

.

0

19

P

d

bt

is

25

7-

ift

The apostles, notwithstanding their attachment to their Lord and Master, always preserve the idea of his subordination to the Father, and consider all his honour and power as derived from him. He received from God the Father, honour and glory, 2 Peter i. 17. It pleased the Father, that in him should all fullness dwell, Col. i. 19. The revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave unto him, Rev. i. 1. Ye are Christ's, and Christ is God's, 1 Cor. iii. 23. The head of Christ is God, 1 Cor. xi. 3.

The

The reason why Christ was so much distinguished by God the Father, is frequently and fully expressed in the scriptures, viz. his obedience to the will of God, and especially in his submitting to die for the benefit of mankind. Therefore doth my Father love me, because I lay down my life, John x. 17. He humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross. Wherefore God has highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name; that at the name of Jesus every knee shall bow, of things in heaven and things in earth; and that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father, Phil. ii. 8.—11. Who, for the joy that was set before him, endured the cross, despising the shame, and is now sat down at the right hand of God, Heb. xii. 2.

Our Lord fays, that he and his Father are one, John x. 30. but he fufficiently explains himself, when he prays that all his disciples may be one with him, and his Father, even as they are one, John xvii. 11. and he gives them the same glory which God had given to him, ver. 22. Besides, at the very time that our Lord says, that he and his sather are one, and in the very sentence preceding it, ver. 29, he says, that his Father is greater than all. But how could the Father be greater than all, if there was any other, who was so much one with him, as to be, in all respects, equal to him?

The mere term God is, indeed, fometimes used in a lower and inferior fense in the scriptures, denoting dominion only; as when the Divine Being himself fays, that he will make Moses a god to Pharaok, Exod. vii. 1. but, surely, there can be no danger of our mistaking the fense of fuch phrases as these: or if it were possible, our Lord himfelf has fufficiently guarded against any misconstruction of them when applied to himfelf, by the explanation he has given of them; informing us, that, if, in the language of fcripture, they are called gods to whom the word of God came, John x. 35. (though, in fact, they were no other than mere men) he could not be guilty of blasphemy in calling himself only the fon of Ged. Now, if Christ had been confcious to himself that he was the true and very God, and that it was of the utmost consequence to mankind that they **should**

should regard him in that light, this was certainly a proper time for him to have declared himself, and not to have

put his hearers off with fuch an apology as this.

But even this power and dominion, to which Christ is advanced by God his Father, who gave all power into his bands, and who made him head over all things to his church, Eph. i. 22. this mediatorial kingdom of Christ (as it is sometimes, and with fufficient propriety, termed) is not to be perpetual. For the apostle Paul, speaking, no doubt, under immediate inspiration, expressly fays, that when the end shall come, that God shall have subdued all things to his Son (in which he observes, that be must be excepted who did subdue all things unto him) he must deliver up the kingdom to God, even the FATHER, and he himself subject to him who had put all things under him, that God may be all in all, I Cor. xv. 24. &c. he himself fays expressly, that he had not the disposal of the highest offices of his kingdom, Matt. xx. 23. on my right hand and on my left is not mine to give; but it shall be given to them for whom it is prepared of my Father.

So clear, my brethren, fo full, and fo express, is the uniform testimony of the scriptures to the great doctrine of the proper unity of God, and of the subordination of Christ, and all other beings to him, that the prevalence of fo impious a doctrine, as the contrary must be, can be ascribed to nothing but to that mystery of iniquity, which, though it began to work in the times of the apostles themselves, was not then rifen to fo enormous a height as to attack the fupremacy of the one living and true God, and give his peculiar glory to another. This, my brethren, among other shocking corruptions of genuine christianity, grew up with the fystem of popery; and to shew that nothing is impossible to the superstition and credulity of men, when they are become vain in their imaginations, after exalting a man into a god, a creature into a creator, they made a piece of bread into one alfo, and then bowed down to, and worshipped,

the work of their own hands.

5

Y e.

i

эе

2

on

lit.

re-

of

m-

ef

125

ot

me,

ian

ing

OD-

hat hey uld But though it feemed fit to the unfearchable wisdom of God, that all the errors and abuses of popery should not

be reformed at once; and though this great error was left untouched by the first reformers, blessed be God, the bible is as open to us as it was to them; and by the exertion of the same judgment and spirit, we may free christianity from the corruptions which they left adhering to it; and then, among other excellencies of our religion, Our Lord

will be one and his name one. Zech. xiv. 9.

If you ask who, then, is Jesus Christ, if he be not God? I answer, in the words of Peter, addressed to the Jews, after his refurrection and afcension, that Jesus of Nazareth was a man approved of God, by miracles and wonders and figns, which God did by him, and whom God raifed from the dead. Acts ii. 22. If you ask what is meant by man, in this place: I answer, that man, if the word be used with any kind of propriety, must mean the same kind of being with yourfelves. I fay, moreover, with the author of the epiftle to the Hebrews, that it became him by whom are all things, and for whom are all things, to make this great captain of our falvation in all respects, like unto us his brethren, that he might be made perfect through sufferings, Heb. ii. 10. 17. and that he might have a feeling of all our infirmities, iv. 13. For this reason it was that our Saviour and deliverer was not made of the nature of an angel, or like any superangelic being, but was of the feed of Abraham, ii. 16. that is (exclusive of the divinity of the Father, which refided in him, and acted by him) a mere man, as other Jews, and as we ourfelves also are.

In the ideas of Paul, both the first and second Adam (as Christ, on this account, is sometimes called) were equally men; By man came death, by man also came the resurrection of the dead, I Cor. xv. 21. And, certainly, in the resurrection of a man, that is, of a person in all respects like ourselves, we have a more lively hope of our own resurrection; that of Christ being both a proof and a pattern of ours. We can, therefore, more sirmly believe, that because he lives, we, who are the same that he was, and who shall undergo the same change by death that he did, shall live

Till

alfo, John xiv. 19.

Till this great corruption of christianity be removed, it will be in vain to preach the gospel to Jews, or Mahometans, or, indeed, to any people who retain the use of the reason and understanding that God has given them. For how is it possible that three persons, Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft, should be separately, each of them, possessed of all divine perfections, fo as to be true, very, and eternal God, and yet that there should be but one God; a truth which is so clearly and fully revealed, that it is not possible for men to refuse their assent to it; or else it would, no doubt, have been long ago expunged from our creed, as utterly irreconcileable with the more favourite doctrine of a Triwity, a term which is not to be found in the scriptures. Things above our reason may, for any thing that we know to the contrary, be true; but things expressly contrary to our reason, as that three should be one, and one three, can never appear to us to be fo.

With the Jews, the doctrine of the Divine Unity is, and indeed justly, considered as the most fundamental principle of all religion. Hear O Ifrael, the Lordour Godisone Lord, Deut. vi. 4. Mark xii. 29. To preach the doctrine of the Trinity to the Jews, can appear to them in no other light, than an attempt to seduce them into idolatry, a thing which they dare not entertain the most distant thought of.

The great creed of the Mahometans is, that There is one God and Mahomet is his prophet. Now that Mahomet is not the prophet of God, it is to be hoped they may, in time, be made to believe; but we must not expect that they will so easily give up their faith in the unity of God. To make the gospel what it was originally, glad tidings of great joy; and as at last it certainly will be, to all the nations of the world, we must free it from this most absurd and impious doctrine, and also from many other corruptions which have been introduced into it. It can no otherwise appear worthy of God, and favourable to the virtue and happiness of mankind.

f

.

11

11

Lest some common objections should hinder the reception of the great truth here contended for, I shall briefly consider and reply to the principal of them. It is often

faid that Christ speaks of his bumanity only, whenever he represents himself as inferior to the Father, and dependent upon him. But the scriptures themselves are far from surnishing the least hint of any such method of interpretation, though, according to the Trinitarians, it is absolute.

ly necessary to the true understanding of them.

Besides, when it is applied to the passages in question, it is far from making them either true in themselves, or agreeable to the obvious purport and design of the places in which they are introduced. I shall just mention a few. Could our Lord say with truth, and without an unworthy prevarication, that the Father is the only true God, John xvii. 3. if any other person, not implied in the term Father, was as much the true God as himself? Now the term Father being appropriated to what is called the first person in the godhead, cannot comprehend the Son, who is called the fecond. This key, therefore, is of no service in this case; and our Lord, by expressing himself as he has done, could not but lead his hearers into what is called a dangerous mistake.

When our Lord faid that his Father was greater than he, did he make any referve, and fecretly mean, not his whole felf, but only part, and the inferior part of himself, the other part being equal in power and glory with the Father? How mean the prevarication, and how unworthy of our Lord!

When our Lord said that the time of the day of judgment coas not known to himself, the Son, but to the Father only, could he mean that his bumanity only did not know it, but that his divinity (which is supposed to be intimately united with his humanity) was as well acquainted with it as the Father himself? If the human nature of Christ had been incapable of having that knowledge communicated to it, the declaration would have been needless; but as that was not the case, his hearers must necessarily understand him as speaking of himself in his highest capacity; as he certainly must do, if at all, when he speaks of himself as the Son, corresponding to the Father.

If Christ had not satisfied the Jews that he did not mean to make himself equal with God, would they not have produced it against him at his trial, when he was condemned as a blasphemer, because he confessed that he was the Messiah only: and yet no Jew expected any thing more than a man for their Messiah, and our Saviour no where intimates that they were mistaken in that expectation. It is plain that Martha considered our Lord as a different person from God, and dependent upon God, when she said to him, John xi. 22. I know that even now, whatsoever thou will ask of God, God will give it thee.

VI. Of Atonement for Sin by the Death of Christ.

0

1

d

e, e.

ole

ne

2-

13

ent

11

at

th:

72.

in-

he

tor

as

nly

on

If

YOU have been taught by divines, that if Christ be not God, he could not have made an infinite satisfaction for the fins of mankind. But, my brethren, where do you learn that the pardon of fin, in a finite creature, requires an infinite fatisfaction; or, indeed, any fatisfaction at all, besides repentance and reformation, on the part of a finner. We read in the scriptures that we are justified freely by the grace of God, Rom. iii. 34. but what free grace, or mercy, does there appear to have been in God, if Christ gave a full price for our justification, and bore the infinite weight of divine wrath on our account. We are commanded to forgive others, as we ourselves hope to be forgiven, Matt. vii. 14. and to be merciful, as our Father, who is in heaven, is But furely we are not thereby authorized to infift upon any atonement, or fatisfaction, before we give up our refentment towards an offending and penitent brother. Indeed, how could it deserve the name of forgiveness if we did? If he only repent, we are commanded to forgive him, Luke xvii. 4.

You read in the scriptures that Christ died a facrifice for our sins, Heb. ix. 26. So he did, and a facrifice it was, of a sweet smelling savour to God. To die, as Christ did, in the glorious cause of truth and virtue; to die, as he

C 3

did.

did, in order to show us an example of patiently fuffering death for our religion, and the good of mankind, and in a firm hope of a refurrection to a future and eternal life: to die, as he did, in express attestation of his own divine mission, by his manifest resurrection from the dead, and as the fullest proof of that doctrine, by means of which finners are continually reconciled unto God, was a noble facrifice indeed. We also are commanded to yield our bodies living facrifices, Rom. xii. 1. and we are required to offer the facrifice of praise continually, Pfal. cxvi. 17. But it is plain that all these are only figurative expressions, and used by way of comparison. Neither our bodies, nor our prayers can he confidered as real facrifices; nor are we, therefore, obliged to suppose that Christ was a real facrifice. though we, like him, should be called actually to lay down our lives for our brethren, I John iii. 16. which, in imitation of him, we are enjoined to be ready to do, we should be facrifices only in the the figurative fense of the word.

It is true, that no man who is a finner (and all men have finned) can be justified by his works. We all stand in need of, and must have recourse to, free grace and mercy; but it is a great dishonour to God to suppose that this mercy and grace takes its rise from any thing but his own essential goodness; and that he is not of himself, and independent of all foreign considerations whatever, what he solemnly declared himself to Moses, at the time of the giving of the law, to be, namely, a God merciful and gracious, long suffering, abundant in goodness and in truth, Exod. xxxiv. 6. or that he requires any other facrisces, but the sacrifices of a broken spirit, and a contrite heart, which

be will never despise. Pfal. li. 17.

Can we wish for a more distinct, and perfect representation of the manner in which God forgives the fins of his offspring of mankind, than our Saviour has exhibited to us in that most excellent parable of the prodigal fon; in which the good father no sooner sees his child, who had abandoned him, and wasted his substance in riotous living, returning to him and to his duty; but without waiting for any atonement or propitiation, even while he was yet a great

way off, he ran to him, fell upon his neck, and kissed him, Luke xv. 20. The same representation we see in the parable of the creditor, who freely forgave his servant, because he humbly desired him. Let us not then, my brethren, deprive the ever-blessed God of the most glorious and honourable of all his attributes, and leave him nothing but justice, or rather vengeance, which is expressly said to be his

frange work. Isaiah xxviii. 21.

1

t

1

d

S,

15

to in

LCI

g,

or eat

ay

It is impossible to reconcile the doctrine of the fatisfaction for fin by the death of Christ, with the doctrine of free grace, which, according to the uniform tenor of the fcriptures, is fo fully displayed in the pardon of fin, and the instification of finners. When, therefore, the apostle Paul fays, Rom. iii. 24. that we are justified freely by the Grace of God, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus, the meaning of the latter clause must be interpreted in such a manner as to make it confistent with the former; and it is far from requiring any force or straining of the text to do it. For it is only necessary to suppose that our redemption (or, as the word properly fignifies, and is indeed frequently rendered by our translators, our deliverance) from the power of fin, i. e. our repentence and reformation, without which there is no promise of pardon, is effected by the gospel of Jefus Christ, who came to call finners to repentance. But still God is to be confidered as the giver, and not the receiver with respect to our redemption, for we read that he spared not his own fon, but gave him up for us all, Rom. viii. 32.

To fay that God the Father provided an atonement for his own offended justice is, in fact, to give up the doctrine. If a person owe me a sum of money, and I chuse to have the debt discharged, is it not the same thing, whether I remit the debt at once, or supply another person with money wherewith to pay me in the debtor's name? If satisfaction be made to any purpose, it must be in some manner, in which the offender may be a sufferer, and the offended person a gainer; but it can never be reconciled to equity, or answer any good purpose whatever, to make the innocent suffer the punishment of the guilty. If, as Abraham says, it be far

from

from God to flay the righteous with the wicked, and that the righteous should be as the wicked, Gen. xviii. 25. much farther must it be from him to slay the righteous instead of the wicked.

I wish the zealous advocates for this doctrine would consider, that if it be necessary, in the nature of things, that the justice of God be satisfied before any sin can be pardoned, and Christ be God as well as the Father, whether the justice of Christ ought not to have been satisfied in the first place. If so, what other infinite being has made satisfaction to him? But if the divine nature of the Son required no satisfaction, why should the divine

nature of the Father require any?

If it had been inconfistent with the divine justice to pardon fin upon repentance only, without some farther satisfaction, we might have expected to have found it expressly faid to be so in the scriptures; but no such declaration can be produced either from the Old or the New Testament. All that can be pretended is, that it may be inferred from it. Though good works are recommended to us in the strongest manner, it is never with any falvo or caution, as if they were not of themselves acceptable to God. The declarations of the divine mercy to the penitent are all absolute, without the most distant hint of their having a reference to any confideration on which they are made. Thou, Lord, art good, and ready to forgive, Pfalm lxxxiv. 5. To the Lord our God belong mercies and forgivenesses, though we have rebelled against him, Dan. ix. 3. When David and other penitents confess their fins, and intreat for pardon, they refer themselves to the divine mercy only, without feeming to have the leaft idea of any thing farther. Remember not the fins of my youth, nor my transgressions; according to thy mercy remember · thou me for thy goodness sake, O Lord, Pfalm xxv. 6.

It is particularly remarkable, that when facrifices under the law are expressly said not to be sufficient for the pardon of sin, we are never referred to any more availing facrifice; but to good works only. Thou desirest not facrifice, else would I give it; thou delightest not in burnt-offering.

The

The facrifices of the Lord are a broken spirit. A broken and contrite heart, O God, thou will not despise, Psalm li. 16, 17. If any of the Jews had had the least notion of the necessity of any atonement for the sins of mankind, they could not but have expected a suffering Messiah; and yet it is plain that the very best of them had no such idea. And though our Saviour frequently explains the reason of his coming, and the necessity of his suffering, it is never on any such account. If he had done it any where, it might have been expected in those discourses by which he endeavoured to reconcile his disciples to his death, in his solemn prayer before his sufferings, at the time of his agony in the garden, or when he was upon the cross; yet nothing of this kind dropped from him on any of these occasions.

When our Lord describes the proceedings of the day of judgment, he doth not represent the righteous as referring themselves to the sufferings or merit of their judge for their justification: and the judge himself expressly grounds it on their good works only. Though Peter, in his discourse to the Jews on the day of Pentecost, speaks of their fin in murdering Christ as of a heinous nature, he fays not a word of the necessity of any atonement, or that an ample fatisfaction had just been made, by means of their very wickedness. How would a modern divine have harangued upon the occasion, and what advantage might he have taken of the cry of the Jews, His blood be upon us, and upon our children. But Peter only exhorts to repentance, and speaks of the death of Christ as an event that took place according to the foreknowledge of God.

.

r

t

8

1-

e

All the discourses of Paul upon various occasions in the book of Asts, are entirely moral. In his celebrated speech at Athens, he only urges his hearers to repentance, from the consideration of a future judgment. He says not a word of what is now called the true gospel of Jesus Christ. In short, it is only from the literal interpretation of a few figurative expressions in the scriptures that this doctrine of atonement, as well as that of transubstantiation, has

heen

been derived; and it is certainly a doctrine highly injurious to God. If we, who are commanded to imitate God, should act upon the maxims of it, it would be subversive of the most amiable part of virtue in men. We should be implacable and unmerciful, insisting upon the uttermost farthing.

These, my brethren, are the principal heads on which I proposed to expostulate with you, in the plain and free manner in which I have done. Do you yourselves search the scriptures, and see whether these things be so. Pray to the God of truth to lead you into all truth; and may he give you

understanding in all things.

VII. Practical Consequences of the above Doc-

HE found knowledge of christianity is not of importance as a matter of speculation merely; though abstract truths, especially truths that relate to God, and the maxims of his moral government, are not without their utility and obligation; but the truths that I here contend for nearly affect the fentiments of our hearts, and our conduct in life: as, indeed, has been shewn in many respects, already. Considering God as possessed of the character in which some divines represent him, it is impossible, while human nature is what it is, that he should appear in an amiable or respectable light. a God may, indeed, be the object of dread and terror to his creatures; but by no means of their love or reverence. And what is obedience without love? It cannot be that of the heart, which, however, is the only thing that is of any real value in religion. Also, how can a man love his fellow-creatures in general, when he confiders the greatest part of them as the objects of the divine abhorrence, and doomed by God to an everlatting destruction, in which he believes that he himself must for ever rejoice? And what can remain of virtue, when these two great sources of it, the love of God and of mankind, are thus grossly

grossly corrupted? Lastly, how must the genuine spirit of mercy and forgiveness, which so eminently distinguishes the gospel of Christ, be debased, when God himself (whose conduct in this very respect is particularly proposed to our imitation) is considered as never forgiving fin without fome previous atonement, fatisfaction, or intercession.

On the other hand, loving God, as the compassionate Father of all his offspring, as willing that all men should be faved, and come to the knowledge of his truth; and also loving all mankind as our brethren, as, together with ourselves, the children of the fame gracious Father, we cannot want the most generous and powerful motives to do the will of God, and to provoke one another to love and to good works; being in no fear of counteracting the fecret defigns of the Almighty, which we believe are aimed, not at the de-

struction, but the happiness of all his creatures.

e

14

.

1-

h

d

it

re

S,

in

d

it he

ch

to

ce.

at

of

ve

he

or-

on, e?

eat lus sly

Think not, however, that I am fo uncharitable as to suppose that all those who profess to maintain the doctrines I have been arguing against, are universally destitute of the genuine love of God, or of their fellow-creatures. I am fensible, and truly thankful, that it is not always the consequence; but it is because the hearts of fuch persons are really influenced by better principles than those which they avow. They by no means habitually regard the Divine Being in the light in which their principles represent him, but as the true Father of all the creatures that he has made, and, as fuch, fincerely defirous to promote their best interests.

Also, notwithstanding, if they be asked, they will not hesitate to say, that Christ is God, the supremacy of the Father, even with respect to the Son, is, at the same time, the real fentiment of their minds; and when they lift up their hearts to God, it is only God the Father that is the proper object of their adoration. The constant tenor of the scriptures is so contrary to their professed creed, that though they dare not call it in question, it is not able to counteract the plainer, the more confistent, and

the better principles which will force themselves upon

their minds from conversing with the bible.

Besides, it requires more subtility and refinement to enter into the principles above mentioned, than the common people are masters of. They cannot conceive how one man should sin, and another person, six thousand years after, be guilty of that sin, and punishable for it; how one person's righteousness should be considered as the righteousness of another; or that three distinct persons should each of them be God, and yet that there should

be no more gods than one.

Men of plain understanding, in fact, never do believe any fuch thing; nor can it be supposed that the gospel, which was intended to be the folid foundation of the faith. hope, and joy of common people, should require so much acuteness, as is necessary to give even a plausible colour to these strange affertions. The attempt to explain them (and, till they be explained, they can no more be believed than a proposition in an unknown tongue) can lead to nothing but endless and unprofitable controversy. It is happy, therefore, that fo many persons make a better use of the gospel than their tenets would lead them to do. and that they confider it chiefly as a rule of life, and the foundation of hope after death. But, as far as the principles I have been arguing against are believed, they cannot but do harm to those who entertain them, as well as bring difgrace upon the christian name; both which every lover of the gospel should endeavour to prevent.

A concise History of the above-mentioned Doctrines.

I. A concife History of Opinions concerning Jefus Christ.

YOU will fay, If Christ be not really God, but merely a man, though inspired and affished by God, how came the christian world to fall into so great an error? In return, I might ask, how, if Christ be truly God, equal

equal to the Father, fo many christians, and especially the lewish christians, and many others in the very early ages of the christian church, came to think him to be merely a a man; when it may be eafily conceived that, on many accounts, christians, who were continually reproached with the meanness of their master, would be disposed to add to, rather than to take from his dignity? But it is not difficult to shew by what means, and by what steps, christians came

to think as the generality of them now do.

It was the univerfal opinion of philosophers, at the time 1. of the promulgation of christianity, that the souls of all men had existed before they were sent to animate the bodies that were provided for them here, and also that all fouls were emanations, or parts detached from the deity. For at that time there was no idea of any fubstance being properly immaterial, and indivifible. When these philosophers became christians, and yet were ashamed of being the disciples of a man who had been crucified, they naturally gave a distinguished rank to the foul of Christ before he came into the world. They even went one step farther, and maintained that Christ had a body in appearance only, and not in reality, and therefore that he fuffered nothing at all when he was fcourged and crucified.

This opinion the apostle John reprobates with great severity, and even calls it Antichristian, I John iv. 3. whereas though it is acknowledged that the other opinion, viz. that of Christ being merely a man, existed in the times of the apostles, it is remarkable that this apostle takes no notice of it. It was plainly the doctrine of those only who maintained that Christ was not truly a man that gave this apostle any disturbance, or he could never have faid as he does, I John iv. 2. Every spirit that confess that Fifus Christ is come in the stop (that is, was truly a

man) is of God.

on

to

n-

W

nd

1:

as

ns .

re

1,

h,

h

11

nı

2.

d

It

25

0,

10.

es

30

15

y

Se

W

After this, philosophizing christians began to add to the pre-existent dignity of Christ in another way, and at length carried it much higher than those upon whom this apostle animadverted with so much severity. They said that Christ was originally in God, being his reason, or hoos,

which came out of him, and was personified before the creation of the world, in which he was the immediate agent, and that this new personage was henceforth the medium of all the divine communications to mankind, having been the person who spake to Adam in paradise, to Noah, to Abraham, and all the patriarchs, who delivered the law from mount Sinai, and, lastly, inhabited the body of Jesus of Nazareth.

On this principle they explained many passages in the Old Testament, in which the word of God is spoken of, as that of the psalmist, By the word of the Lord were the heavens made, &c. making this word to be a person, distinct from God, whose word it was; whereas nothing can be more plain, than that by the word of God in this place, is meant the power of God, exerted with as much ease

as men utter words.

These philosophizing christians took great pains to explain how the reason, or wisdom of God could thus become a person, distinct from God, and yet God continue a reasonable being; but their account of it is too tristing to be recited in this place. However, it was far from being pretended, in general, that the doctrine of the divinity of Christ was such a mystery as could not be explained. For by mystery they only meant something of a solemn nature, which was unknown till it was revealed or explained. And indeed this is plainly the use of the word mystery, in the New Testament; and it was also the usual meaning of the word when the present translation of the bible was made; the mysteries of any particular trade being the secrets of that trade, which yet every master taught his apprentices.

In this state the doctrine continued till after the council of Nice, in the year of our Lord, 325; but in all this time a real superiority was always acknowledged in the Father, as the only source of divinity; and it was even explicitly acknowledged that there was a time when the son of God had no separate existence, being only the reason of God, just as the reason of man is a part, or a property, of man. One of the most eminent of the

christian

christian Fathers fays, " There was a time when God was " neither a Father, nor a judge; for he could not be a Father before he had a fon, nor a judge before there " was fin."

So far were they from supposing the son of God to be equal to the Father, that when they were charged, as they frequently were, with making two Gals, they generally replied that the fon was only God of God, as having proceeded from a superior God, which is the language of the Nicene Creed; whereas the Father was God of bimfolf (auto Do @) by which they meant underived, which they

held to be the prerogative of the Father only.

20

m

en

to

ne

ly

ne

25

ct

be

e,

ie

ne

a.

he

Ci

or

e,

d.

in

15

as

ne

11-/ is\ ne

211

ne |

ne |

or.

10 10

In all this time the Jewith christians, who were not tainted with the heathen philosophy, maintained the doctrine of the proper and fimple humanity of Christ. Athanasius himself was so far from being able to deny this, that he fays all the Jews were fo fully perfuaded that their Messiah was to be a man like themselves, that the spoftles were obliged to use great caution in divulging the doctrine of the divinity of Christ. He fays that the reaion why Peter, Acts ii. 22, only calls him a man approved of God, and why, on other occasions in the course of that book, and other parts of the New Testament, he is simply called a man, was that at first the apostles did not think proper to do more than prove that Jesus was the Christ, or Mossiah, and that they thought it prudent to divulge the doctrine of the divinity of Christ by degrees. He likewife fays, that the Jews of those times, meaning the Jewish Christians, being in this error themselves, drew the Gentiles into it. Athanafius greatly commends the apostles for this address in their circumstances. But what the aposses scrupled to teach, we should be scrupulous in believing.

It also clearly appears from ecclesiastical history, that the unlearned among the christians were exceedingly averse to the doctrine of the divinity of Christ, even in the qualified fense above-mentioned, opposing what they calad the supreme monarchy of the Father to the novel doctrine of the divinity of the Son; and the philofo-

D 2

phizing

phizing christians were obliged to make laboured apologies to these early unitarians, acknowledging the persect inferiority of the Son to the Father. But at length these unitarians were overborne by the superior influence and popularity of their adversaries, who, from believing Christ to be God in an inferior and qualified sense of the word, came, in the natural course of things, to believe him to be God equal to the Father himself, and to have existed from all eternity independently of him. But it was several centuries before this doctrine was fully established. And the Holy spirit was generally considered either as the same thing with the power of God, that is God himself (just as the spirit of a man is a man) or else a superangelic being, inferior both to the Father and the Son, till after the council of Nice.

In the mean time, Arius and his followers, shocked at the doctrine of Christ being of the same substance with the Father, maintained that, though he had pre-existed, and had been the medium of all the dispensations of God to mankind, he was, like all other derived beings, created out of nothing; the opinion of all souls having been emanations from the supreme mind being then generally denied by christians.

Thus did it please God, for reasons unknown to us, to permit the rise, and general spread, of the trinitarian and Arian opinions, as he permitted the rise and amazing power of the man of sin, and many corruptions and abuses of christianity, utterly subversive of the gonuine purity of the gospel, till the full time for the reformation of this and other gross corruptions of christianity was come.

II. A concife History of the Doctrines of Grace, Original Sin, and Predestination.

T was a controverfy about the nature and use of bap tism that occasioned the starting of the doctrine of the natural impotence of man to do what God requires of him, of the n

th

imputation of the fin of Adam to all his posterity, and of the arbitrary predestination of certain individuals of the human race to everlasting life, while the rest of mankind were left in a state of reprobation; and this was so late as four hundred years after Christ. Before that time it had been the universal opinion of christians, and of Austin himself, who first advanced the doctrines above-mentioned, that every man has the power of obeying or disobeying the laws of God, that all men may be faved if they will, and that no decrees of God will be the least

obstruction in the way of any man's salvation.

But Pelagius, a man of good understanding, and exemplary morals, in his declamations against some abuses of baptism, afferting that baptism ittelf does not wash away fin, as was then generally supposed (on which account it was the custom with many to defer it till near death) nor could have been appointed for that purpose, because, infants, which have no fin, are baptifed; Austin in opposition to him mantained that, though infants have no actual fin of their own, they have the stain of original fin in which they were born. He was, however, far from afferting that Adam was the federal head of all his posterity, and that his fin was properly imputed to them. This was an improvement upon the doctrine in after ages. What Austin maintained was, that men derive a corrupt nature, or a promeness to sin from Adam.

Also, having been led, in the course of this controverfy, to affert that by means of original fin no man had it in his power to attain to falvation, he was obliged to maintain that it depended upon the will of God only who should be finally faved, and that he predestinated whom he thought proper for that purpose, independent of any forelight of their good works, which it was not in their power to perform without his immediate affistance, and in which he must be the first

mover.

0-

ect

efe

nd

ift

rd,

be

m

en-

he

me

as

ng,

the

at

the

nd

to

out

CIIS

by

us,

rian

ma-

ons

ġę.

LÇ.

ia.

bap-

Eth

the

opu

But notwithstanding this doctrine of the corruption of human nature, of the necessity of divine grace for the production of every good thought or action, and of predeltination

predestination to eternal life without regard to good works, advanced by Austin, prevailed in the west, chiefly through the authority of his name, it was never received in the eastern church, and was much controverted, and held with various modifications, in the western. Also, together with this doctrine of grace, the divines of the Roman Catholic church held the doctrine of human merit, founded on the right use of the grace of God to man. And the present doctrines of grace, original sin, and predestination, were never maintained in their full extent till after the reformation by Luther, who was a friar of the order of Austin, had been much attached to his doctrines, and made great use of them in opposing the popish doctrine of indulgence, founded on that of merit.

III. A concife History of the Doctrine of Atonement.

HE doctrine of atonement, or of the necessity of satisfaction being made to the justice of God by the death of Christ, in order to his remitting the sins of men, arose from an abuse of the figurative language of scripture, as the doctrine of transabstantiation also did. But for several centuries these figurative expressions were understood, and applied, in a manner very different from what they now are.

It was granted by some pretty early writers, that we were bought (or redeemed) with a price; but then, as we had been the slaves of sin, and were redeemed by God, who ransomed us by the death of his son, it was maintained till after the time of Austin (the principal author of all the rigid doctrines that are now called Calvinistic) that the price of our redemption was paid not to God, but by God, to the devil, in whose power we were. Of this opinion was Austin himself, who wrote largely on the subject in his treatise on the doctrine of the trinity. It was long after his time before we find any traces of its being generally thought that the price of redemption was paid to the offended

offended justice of God; and the present doctrine of atonement, founded on the idea of the absolute necessity of an infinite satisfaction being made by one infinite being for offences of an infinite magnitude, as committed against another infinite being, is subsequent to the reformation. This doctrine was advanced by the reformers in the course of their controversy with the papists, about the doctrine of human merit, works of penance, and the power of granting indulgences. Now can it be supposed that a doctrine of so much importance, as this is always represented to be, should have been unknown so many ages?

Thus all these boasted ancient doctrines are in fact of late date, either having arisen from the principles of heathen philosophy, or having been started and extended in the course of controversy, one false position making another necessary for its support; and an air of awful and deep mystery has been no small recommendation of them

to many of the more ignorant.

d

er

ra

t-

1e

ne

ce

ce,

in

T,

ch

m

ed

it.

is-

th

ofe

as

ve-

od,

ey

at

ed,

he

to

ras

his

ter

lly

he

ed

The doctrine of the trinity, having been one of the earliest corruptions of christianity, will probably be one of the last to be completely eradicated. But the time, I trust, is fast approaching, when, by means of the zeal of truly enlightened and good men in this great cause, this fundamental error, which gives such great and just cause of offence to Jews and Mahometans, will be removed, and all that has been built upon it will fall to the ground.

The Conclusion.

Y Christian Brethren, if the reading of this address give rise to any doubts or scruples in your minds, with respect to some doctrines which you have been used to consider as true and fundamental in the christian religion, enquire farther; and if you be satisfied that you have hitherto been mistaken, dare to avow the truth, and act consistently with it. Dread the consequences of joining, with an enlightened mind, in the idolatrous worship of any creature, though enjoined by any human authority; remembering

remembering the words of Christ, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve, Matt. iv. 10. and also that awful voice from heaven respecting all antichristian corruptions of the gospel, in mystical Babylon; Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins,

and that ye receive not of her plagues, Rev. xviii. 4.

Think not to avail yourselves of the wretched equivocation of many divines, who imagine that they may safely ascribe all divine honours to Jesus Christ, on account of his union with the Father, when they believe no more of his proper divinity than professed Arians or Socinians. By this artifice they secure the reputation and emoluments of orthodoxy; but let them consider the value of the purchase, and the price they give for it. To mere worldly considerations, to the praise of men, and filthy lucre, they facrifice that integrity, for the loss of which worlds cannot compensate.

The writer * of these tracts does not conceal his name through the sear of any thing that men can say of bim, or do to bim, but merely to give what he has written a better chance of being read without prejudice. What he has done is out of a sincere good-will and compassion to the multitude, who believe they know not what, or why, and what is of more consequence, who know not what spirit they are of; but instead of speaking the truth in love, mistake bitterness and rancour for a zeal for God and his truth; and also for the sake of a better fort of people, who are unhappily drawn into the same delusions.

Confidering the deference which the common people always pay to the judgment of men of learning, there can be little doubt but that, if those persons who, having studied this subject, have been convinced that Christ is not God, and ought not to be worshipped as God, had openly avowed their opinion, and had had recourse to no mean subterfuge or equivocation, this sundamental article of true and rational christianity had long ago been the prevailing belief; and our religion appearing more

This tract was originally anonymous.

worthy of its divine author, there would have been, at this time, fewer unbelievers in all christian countries, and many more converts made to it from other religions. And, compared with this glorious advantage, what has been gained by all the arts and fophistry of ministers, who have concealed their real meaning under ambiguous expressions, lest, as they pretend, they should too much

shock the prejudices of their hearers?

the

0.

11-

n;

125,

10.

nt

01

its

lrly

ey

ot

is:

01

211

at

on J'i

oi-

115

e,

le

re

18

15

d

to

al

0

That some regard should be paid to the prejudices of the weak is allowed; but let not this lead men to criminal diffimulation, or extend to things of fo much importance as this, respecting the unity of God. In this case, let us keep at the greatest distance from every thing that is difingenuous; let the truth be spoken in the most explicit manner, and let the confequences be left to the power of truth, and the God of truth. Belides, it is impossible that while men retain depraved and unworthy notions of God, their devotion should be such as God requires; so that this pretended tenderness injures those who are the objects of it, as well as bears an unfavourable aspect on the interests of christianity more at large. Such are the effects of the wifdom of this world, when it is put in the place of fincerity, and a regard to the plain truth of the gospel of Jesus Christ!

Professing the purity of the christian faith, let us be careful, my brethren, to adorn it by a blameless and exemplary life. More especially let us beware that we do not wear the form of goodliness, when our hearts are destitute of the power of it; and that we indulge no secret hope, that by any peculiar strictness and austerity of life, by frequent or long prayers, or by attending on much preaching, and using other means of religion, we shall atone for a neglect of the weightier matters of the law, righteousness, mercy, and truth. Let the integrity of our hearts appear in the chearfulness of our countenances, and let us shew that we love God whom we have not seen, by loving our brethren whom we do see, and by being always ready to do

To judge of our love to God, or of our love to G

To judge of our love to God, or of our love to Christ, directly, by what we feel when we think of them, especially when

when we are excluded from the world, as is the custom with many, is to expose ourselves to the grossest and most dangerous delusions. We find in the scriptures a much plainer, and safer method of judging in both these cases. This, says the apostle John, is the love of God, that we keep his commandments. If ye love me, says our Lord, keep my commandments. Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you; and this is my commandment, that ye love one another. By this skall all men know that ye are my disciples, if ye have love one for another.

Remember that true christian charity is humble, modest, and dissident; and that he is pronounced to be happy, who feareth always, so as to be circumspect in thought, word, and deed; and that, for this purpose, we are to put on the whole armour of God, that we may withstand the

temptations of the world.

Rather than indulge a Pharifaical pride, in recounting your experiences, boasting how vile you have once been, or thought yourselves to be, in order to make others believe how holy and sanctified you are now, content yourselves with the language and practice of the humble publican, who, speaking to God, and his own heart only, cried,

God be merciful to me a sinner.

Rejoice in all the real good you fee done by others, whatever may be their ill will, or opposition to you; and be especially upon your guard, lest your just aversion to what is corrupt in the principles or practices of others, lead you to dislike what is good in them. Let not the Pharifaical rigour of some throw you into the opposite extreme of saving; and let not their laying an undue stress upon praying, preaching, and other means of religion, make you neglect them, as we are too apt to do, with respect to any thing that has been much abused.

Having enough to do with our own hearts, let us be particularly upon our guard against that spirit of censorious-ness, which many professing christians include with too little restraint. Let us remember that the true christian beareth all things and hopeth all things; and let us never forget the awful warning of our Lord, Judge not that ye be not

judged;

judged; for with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged; and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you

again.

103

flo ch

es.

772=

ndBy

ne

0. 7,

to

ie.

18

or ve

es

11,

d,

it-

00

11

AL. 21

75

...

5

PE

[.

Be not moved, my Brethren, by the rash censures and reproaches of others. Perfecution, of some kind, is what all who live Godly in Christ Jefus must expect to suffer in this world. To their wrath, anger, clamour, evil speaking, and malice, answer with the wisdom that is from above; which is pure, peaceable, gentle, and eafy to be intreated; full of mercy, and good fruits, without partiality, and without hypocrify. Let us even rejoice that we are counted worthy to fuffer shame, and infult, for the fake of Christ, though our sufferings come not from the professed enemies of Christ, but from false brethren; and let us not be concerned at being counted deceivers, if we be conscious to ourselves that we truly love the gospel, and that we labour to promote and adorn it.

You will be called Arminians and Socinians by your adversaries, or something else that shall express more of their hatred and diflike. But let not this offend you. If there be any proper meaning in those epithets, it can only be that you hold certain opinions which they deem to be falle, but which you cherish, as the only genuine doctrines of the gospel. If nothing more is meant by those terms, befides mere reproach and abufe, think yourfelves happy, as being reproached for the name of Christ, 1 Peter, iv. 14. With many the appellation of Lutheran or Calvinist is reproachful, and with many also, that of Christian is much more fo. Besides, both Arminius and Socinus were men who loved the gospel, and who suffered more for their adherence to it, than most others of the Reformers, especially Socinus.

If we be Christians indeed, we shall consider ourselves as not of this world, but as citizens of heaven. The friendship of this world, therefore, together with popularity, and fuccefs in it, ought not to be confidered as any object for us. If we alide in Christ, and walk even as he also walked, not being conformed to this world, but being transformed by the renewing of our minds, we are beirs of a far nobler inheritance, an inheritance incorruptible, undefiled, and that fadeth not away,

referred

reserved in heaven for us; and when Christ, who is our life, and for whom we suffer reproach, shall appear, we also shall ap-

pear with him in glory.

I shall conclude this address with a word of advice and exhortation to all unitarians, whether they be members of the established church, or of any society of dissenters in this country.

Of such great importance is the doctrine of the divine unity, that nothing will more fully justify a separation from any christian church that does not openly profess it, and much more from those that avow the contrary doctrine, directing prayers, and paying supreme worship, to any other than the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

It was for the preservation of this great and fundamental doctrine, that Abraham, and his family by Isaac and Jacob, were separated from the rest of the world, and made a distinct people, as it were, to be the depositaries of the true religion, which consists principally in the sole worship of the one true and living God, the maker and preserver of all things. The same important doctrine was uniformly taught by Christ and the apostles; though christians in after times, like the Israelites after the time of Joshua, relapsed into that idolatry which has generally prevailed to this day.

If it was a fufficient justification of the first reformers, that they considered the church from which they separated as worshipping faints and angels; will it not justify your separation from their partial reformations, that you consider them as praying to and worshipping one whom you consider as a man like yourselves, though honoured and

distinguished by God above all other men?

To join habitually in public worship with trinitarians, is countenancing that worship, which you must consider as idolatrous; and which, however innocent in them, is highly criminal in you. If they think it a point of conscience not to go to mass in popish churches because, in their opinion, it is idolizing a piece of bread, you ought to make a point of conscience of not worshipping with them, because in your opinion it is idolizing a man, who is as much a crea-

re of God as a piece of bread, and just as improper an

ject of worship.

Besides, the great offence to Jews, Mahometans, and e world at large, being the doctrine of the trinity, it is ghly necessary that societies of christians should be form-expressly on this principle of the divine unity, that it ay be evident to all the world, that there are christians, d societies of christians, who hold the doctrine of the inity in as much abhorrence as they themselves can do or the conversion of Jews or Mahometans to christianity, hile it is supposed to contain the doctrine of the trinity, person who knows, or has heard of, Jews or Mahometans, can ever expect.

You will fay, We unitarians are but few, even in large was, and still fewer in villages, and there are no men leisure or learning among us. But was not this the case ith the primitive christians, and yet this circumstance as no obstruction to the forming of a christian church in a place. We read of churches in private houses.

Assemble together, therefore, in the name and in the ear of God, and according to the order of the gospel, very Lord's-day, if there be no more than two or three, even a single family of you in a place; read the scripares, and pray together. Also read sermons, or other torks of moral instruction, of which there is, happily, no rant at this day. Baptize, and administer the Lord's apper among yourselves; and as you grow more numeous, form yourselves upon some regular plan of church iscipline; that it may be the means of uniting and keeping you together; and rigorously exclude all persons whose orduct would be a reproach to you.

As to a learned ministry, it is acknowledged to be defirale, where it can be had, but it is by no means necessary. The gravest and most respectable persons among you, and hose who have the most leisure, will, in the character of lders, select and read proper prayers and discourses, and perform all the offices of christian societies, just as well as he elders in the primitive churches, who had no such helps as you now have; and miraculous powers were not of long continuance with them.

If you be at prefent members of the established church, you will find a reformed liturgy ready prepared for your use by Mr. Lindsey; and I would recommend responses, especially to societies formed in this manner, in which it is particularly desirable, that the members, being nearly on a level, should each bear his part in the service. But if you should prefer the mode of worship among the Dissenters (though men of sense will not make much account of such distinctions) you may make use of the Forms of evership which I have composed for the use of unitarian societies.

All these are trisling obstacles to a great design. It requires indeed a proper degree of christian zeal; but the object is worthy of it. The example has been already set in Scotland, where it was least of all to be expected; and the success has been such as should abundantly encourage

fimilar at empts in this country.

The Baptists and Methodists, not laying much stress upon a learned ministry, flourish greatly, the Independents are now taking the same methods, and with the same success; while the rational Dissenters, sancying they would be disgraced by the want of a learned ministry, are dwin-

dling away almost every where.

Whatever inconvenience may arise from mere novelty, it is soon over; and as the Methodists are collecting into bodies in all places; a thing of this kind will excite much less surprize. But what impression ought the censure of the world to make upon those who, as christians, prosess to be above the world, and to rejoice that they are counted worthy to suffer shame in the cause of Christ, and to think thenselves bappy if they be represented on that account. You should imagine that you hear that awful woire from beaven, recorded in the book of Revelation, ch. xviii. 24. Come out of her (i. e. of mystical Rabylon, the great source of all the corruptions of christianity) my people, that we be not the fartakers of her sins, and that we receive not of her plagues.

ng

ch,

ufe pe-

t is

on

t if

en-

int

of

he fet nd

efs id-

ne ld n-

of of strains

c

Be careful, however, to do this in the spirit of christian charity, which should be extended to all men, but especially to all that bear the christian name. Consider them as men who are in an error, which is always involuntary. Endeavour to remove the prejudices they unhappily lie under, but sorbear all angry reproaches, all insult, and even ridicule; for religion is a serious thing, and brotherly love is the very essence of it. And if this love is to be extended even to enemies, much more should it be indulged towards our merely mislaken friends.

E 2

THE

TRIUMPH OF TRUTH;

BEING AN ACCOUNT OF

The TRIAL of Mr. ELWALL,

BEFORE JUDGE DENTON,

For publishing a Book in Defence of the UNITY of God, At STAFFORD Assizes, in the Year 1726.

THE PREFACE.

HIS trial is printed from the author's fecond edition, even without altering fuch phrases as are peculiar to what denomination of christians with whom he generally affociated, and whose style he adopted; and certainly the Quakers ought to think themselves honoured even by this kind of relation to Mr. Elwall. Such firmness in the cause of truth, and fuch prefence of mind in afferting and vindicating it, as appear in this trial, are truly apostolical, and have had but few examples fince the first promulgation of chri-Stianity. It is impossible for an unprejudiced person to read this account of it (which is written with fo much true fimplicity, perspicuity, and strength of evidence) without feeling the greatest veneration for the writer, the fullest conviction and love of the truth, and a proportionable zeal in maintaining it. I should even think it impossible for the most prejudiced person to read it attentively, but, if he use no violence with his own mind, he will receive fome favourable impressions both of the author, and of that cause, which

he supports with such becoming dignity, and with a temper and disposition of mind, in every respect worthy of a true crhistian.

So great was the force of truth on this memorable occasion, that Mr. Elwall was honourably discharged, though he had certainly acted contrary to the express laws of this country, according to which this glorious man ought to have been sentenced to a severe punishment, as a convicted and avowed blasphemer. What must a lover of truth and of free enquiry as subservient to truth, think of such laws, and of the ecclesiastical constitution of the countries in which they are in force!

It is to be wished that such a monument of the TRI-UMH OF TRUTH might be constantly held out to the view of all mankind, and particularly in this country where it was exhibited.

D,

111,

10

lly

lie

115

ife

ii.

re

i.

d

11-

1.

7-

11

0

The Dedication of the treatife, on account of which Mr Elwall was profecuted, is dated the eighth day of the fecond month, 1724; he speaks of his trial in a treatife, entitled, A declaration against all kings and temporal powers under heaven, printed in 1732; and Judge Denton, before whom he was tried, went the Oxford circuit in 1726 and 1728. From these circumstances it may be concluded, that the former of these years is the date of this remarkable trial, especially, as in some part of the same year 1726, Mr Elwall published another desence of the unitarian system, in a treatise which he entitled, Dagon sallen before the Ark of God, which would probably have been mentioned in the course of the trial, if it had been published at that time.

Since the writing of the above, the Editor has had the pleasure of knowing many of Mr. Elwall's acquaintance, and particularly Mr John Martin, of Skilts Park, between Elimingham and Alcester, who was present at the trial. He is now in his eighty-fourth year, and perfectly remembers that it was in 1726, and he thinks it was the summer assizes; because the weather was very hot. The reputation of the trial drew many persons to hear it, and himself among the rest; and, being acquainted with some

of the sheriff's men, he got a very convenient station, at about an equal distance from the judge on his left hand, and Mr Elwall on his right, where he faw and heard to the greatest advantage. The trial, he fays, was in the morning, and the figure of Mr Elwall, who was a tall man, with white hair, a large beard, and flowing garments, struck every body with respect. He spoke about an hour with great gravity, fluency, and presence of mind, but what is printed is the substance of what he faid. The judge gave the most obliging attention to him, and the confusion of the clergy, when he paused, and waited for their answer, as mentioned in the trial, was very visible. During the trial, Mr. Martin fays he was struck with the resemblance of it to that of Paul. He does not recollect that the Jury brought in any verdict, - but the Judge faid he was at liberty to go where he pleafed. It is possible that the trial might not come to a regular termination, on account of Mr. Elwall not having had a copy of the indictment, as mentioned in this account.

TRIAL OF MR. ELWALL.

DECAUSE fo many perfons have earnestly desired to read this trial, I have here published a third edition of it, in order to encourage all honest men, who have the eternal law of God on their side, not to fear the faces of priests, who are generally the grand adversaries of liberty and truth, and the bastions and bulwarks of all ceremonies, sopperies, and absurd doctrines that are in the world.

I do this for the glory of the Most High God, for the honour of his facred law, and for the good of all my fellow-creatures; that they may obey God, and not man; Christ, and not the pope; the prophets and apostles, and not prelates and priests; and God knoweth this is my sincere desire, that all religion and spiritual things may be perfectly free, neither forced nor hindered; this being the true liberty of the gospel of Jesus Christ, who said, The kings of the Gentiles exercise authority, but it shall not be so with you.

About fourteen years ago, I wrote a book entitled, "A True Testimony for God and his sacred Law; be"ing a plain, honest desence of the first commandment
"of God, against all the Trinitarians under heaven,
"Thou shalt have no other Gods but me." I lived then at Wolverhampton, in Staffordsbire, where my ancedors have lived above eleven hundred years, ever since the Saxons

conquered the Britons.

f

e

19

d

S

is le

ì,

11

IE

When this book was published, the priests in the country began to rage, especially the priests of Wolverhampton, who had a great hand in the several troubles I underwent. In short, they never ceased till they had procured a large indistment against me at Stafford assizes; where I selt the power of God, enabling me to speak before a very great number of people, being accused of heresy, &c. But I truly answered, as my beloved brother Paul did in his day,

VIZ.

viz. In that way which some call herefy, so chuse I to serve the God of my fathers, believing all that is written in the law and

the prophers.

After the long indictment was read, I was asked if I pleaded guilty, or not guilty. I faid I was not guilty of any evil, that I knew of, in writing that book; but if they meant whether I wrote the book or not (for they had quoted many pages of the book in that indictment) I owned I did write it; and that if I might have liberty to speak, I believed I should make it manifest to be the plain truth of God.

Then the judge stood up and said, "Mr. Elwall, Isop pose you have had a copy of your indistment?" I told him I had not had any copy of it. Upon which he turned towards the priests, and told them that I ought to have had a copy of it. But they not answering, he turned to me, and said, That if I would give bail, and be bound to appear at the next assizes, he would defer my trial till them. But I told him, I would not give bail, neither should any man be bound for me; that if the Prince of Wales himself would, he should not; for, said I, I have an innocent break and I have injured no man; and therefore I define mother savour, but that I may have liberty to plead to

the indictment myielf.

Upon which he faid, very courteoufly, You may. The Judge having given me liberty of pleading to the indiament, I began my speech with the facred first commandment of God, viz. Thou shalt have no other God but Me. I insisted upon the word Me being a singular; and that was plain and certain, that God spake of himself, as one single person or being, and not three diffinst persons. And that it was manifest, that all the church of God, which then heard those words, understood it in the same plain obvious sense as I do; as is most evident from the word of the prophet Moses; who said to Israel thus; Unto thee was shewed, that thou mightest know, that the Lord be is God there is none else besides him; out of heaven he made thee hear in voice, &c. I told them, that from the words he, and long and his, it was certain God was but one single person, one

fingle he, or him, or his. I told them that all the patriarchs from the beginning of the world, did always address themfelves to God, as one single being. O thou Most High God, possessor of heaven and earth; and Abraham said to the king of Sodom, I have lift up my hand unto the Lord, the Most High God, the possessor of heaven earth, &c. They knew nothing of a trinity, nor of God's being a pleurality of persons; that monstrous doctrine was not then born, nor of two thousand years after, till the apostacy and Popery be-

gan to put up its filthy head.

Then I told them, that all the prophets witnessed to the truth of the same pure uncorrupted unitarian doctrine of one God, and no other but he: Have we not all one Father, hath not one God created us? Then I told them the words of God to Abraham, I am God Almighty, walk before me, and be thou persed; and by the prophet I aiah, To whom will ye hken me, or shall I be equal, saith the holy One, not the holy Three. I told them that the words Me and One did utterly exclude any other person's being God, but that One single Me; and that God himself often testifies the same truth, by saying, Is there any God besides Me? And then tells us plainly, There is no God, I know not any: I am the Lord, and there is none else; there is no God besides me. Isaiah xlv. 5.

Now, faid I, let God be true, but every man a liar, that is, every man that contradicteth him; for he is the God of truth; he fays, I lift up my band to beaven, I fay, I live for

ever.

the

and

if

y of the

had

t) I

ty to

plain

fup.

toli irnei

have

ed to

then

d any

mid

realt

re mad to

Th

ndia

mané

Ze.

thati

as ent . And

which plain

Words

thee t

is God

bear is

d lin

n, out

After I had pleaded many texts in the Old Testament, I began to enter the New; and told them that our Lord Jesus Christ, the prophet like unto Moses, held forth the same dostrine that Moses had done; for when a certain ruler came to ask him which was the first and great commandment (or how he expounded it) he told him the same words that Moses had said. Hear, O Israel, the Lord thy God is one Lord, not three, and thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, &c. And the scribe said, Thou hast answered right, for there is but one God, and there is no other but he, &c. Then I mentioned the words of Christ, in the xviith of John and ver. 3, as very remarkable, and worthy of all their observation:

fervation: This is life eternal, to know thee the only true God, and Jefus Christ whom thou hast sent. And then I turned my face directly to the priests (my prosecutors, who all stood on the right side of the judge) Now, said I, since the lips of the blessed Jesus, which always spoke the truth, say, his Father is the only true God; who is he, and who are they that dare set up another, in contradiction to my blessed Lord, who says, his Father is the only true God?

And I stopped here, to see if any of them would answer, but the power of God came over them, so that all the mouths were shut up, and not one of them spake a word. So that I turned about over my lest shoulder, and warned the people in the fear of God, not to take their religious sentiments from men, but from God; not from the Pope, but from Christ: not from Prelates nor Priess, but from

the Prophets and Apostles.

And then I turned towards the Judge, and told him that I was the more convinced of the truth of what I in faid, from the words of my bleffed Lord; who faid, Cally man Father here upon earth; for one is your Father, Em God. And call no man Master, for one is your Master, ea Christ. From hence, said I, I deduce this natural inference that in all things that are of a spiritual nature, we ought take our religion from God and his prophets, from Uh and his apostles. It will be too long to mention all a texts and proofs that I made use of; I will only a one or two, as that of Paul, 1. Cor. viii. 4, 5, 6, where it apostle tells us, There is no other God but one; for the there be that are called gods (as there be gods many, and we many) both in beaven and earth ; but to us there is but o God, the Father, of whom are all things: fo that I told the here was a plain demonstration; for he fays, there is but God, and tells us who that one God is, that is, the Fall And therefore no other person could be God but the ther only; and what I had written in my book wast plain truth, and founded on God's own words, Though have no other Gods but me.

In fhort, I could plainly perceive there was a gene convincement through the court. The judge and justs of the peace did not like the profecution; but faw plainly, that out of envy the priests had done it. I then began to fet before them the odious nature of that hell-born principle of persecution, and that it was hatched in hell; that it never came from Jesus Christ; that he and his followers were often persecuted themselves, but they never perfecuted any; that we had now a very flagrant instance of it in the papifts at Thorn; where they first took away the schools where our brethren the protestants educated their children; then they took away the places of their religious worship; then they put them in prisons; then confiscated their estates; and, last of all, took away their lives.

Now we can cry out loud enough against this, and frew the inhumanity, cruelty, and barbarity of it; but, aid I, if we, who call ourselves protestants, shall be found acting in the fame spirit, against others, the crime will be greater in us than in them; because we have

attained to greater degrees of light than they.

However, I told them, that I had put my house in order, and made up my accounts with all men as near as I could; and that as I owed no man here any thing, fo I would not pay a penny towards this profecution. And that I vas fure of it, that whatever fine they laid on me, or whatever hole or prison, said I, you thrust me into, I shall find God's living presence with me, as I feel it this day: And

here i to ended my fpeech.

od,

red

all

the fay,

are

fied

TOT:

their

ord.

irned

gious

opt,

from

l him, I had

Calle

Eva!

7', 218

erence

Shir

Chil

all it

ily ad

Upon this a justice of the peace, one Rupert Humpatch, and its got up, went to the judge, laid his hand upon the judge's that a houself man; and what I say, I speak not by hear-say, but is but experience; for I was his next door neighbour three years. The Allo, another justice spoke to the same effect. Then the the ladge spoke to me; Mr. Elevell, I perceive you have studied very deeply into this controversy; but have you ever than he consulted say, of our reverend clergy, and bishops of the Though consulted any of our reverend clergy, and bishops of the durch of England, I answered yes, I have, and among others,

gene the Archbishop of Canterbury himself, with whom I have ex-

changed ten letters, viz. four I have had from him and fix he had for me. [At which words, all the priefts stared very earnestly.] Well, fays the judge, and was not the arch. bishop able to give you some satisfaction in these points, Mr. Elwall? I faid, No; but rather quite the reverse: for that in all the letters I fent to the archbishop, I grounded my arguments upon the words of God and his prophets, Christ and his apostles; but in his answers to me, he referred me to acts of parliament, and declarations of state, &c. whereas I told the bishop, in one of my letters, that I wondered a man of his natural and acquired abilities, should be so weak as to turn me over to human authorities, in things of a divine nature: for though in all things that are of a temporal nature, and concern the civil fociety, I will be subject to every ordinance of man for the Lord's fake; even from the king upon the throne, down to the meanest officer in the land; yet in things that are of a spiritual nature, and concern my faith, my werthip of God, and future state, I would call no man falle upon earth, nor regard either popes or councils, prelates or priefts of any denomination, nor convocations, nor afferblies of divines; but obey God and his prophets, Christ and his apostles. Upon which the judge answered, Well, if his Grace of Canterbury was not able to give you fatisfaction Mr. Elwall, I believe I shall not; and so fat down and refled him; for I think he had flood up for near an how and a quarter.

Then he stood up again, and turning to the priess talked softly to them. I did not hear what he said, or what they said to him; but I guessed from what the judge said next; for, says he, Mr. Elwall, you cannot but be sensible that as what you have written is contrary to the common received doctrines of the church, it has given offence to some of your neighbours, and particularly to the clergy; you willing to promise, before the sace of the country here that you will not write any more on this head? I answered God forbid that I should make thee any such promises for when I wrote this book, I did it in the sear of God; and I did not write it to please the church of

Ron

Rome, nor the church of England, nor the church of Scotland; but to please that God who gave me breath; and therefore, if at any time I find myself drawn forth to write in desence of this sacred first commandatent, or any other of the ten, I hope I shall do it in the same spirit of sincerity as I have done this. And I perceived the judge was not in any wife displeased at my honest, plain, bold answer; but rather his heart seemed to be knit in love to me; and he soon declared me acquitted: and then the clerk of the arraigns, or assizes, shood up, and said, Mr. Eleval, you are acquitted; you may go out of court when you please.

So I went away through a very great crowd of people (for it was thought there was a thousand people at the trial) and having spoken long I was a-thirst, so I went to a well and drank. Then I went out of town by the river side, and looking about, and seeing no one near, I kneeled down on the bank of the river, and sent up my thank-offering to that good God who had delivered

me out of their hands.

fix

ery

rch-

nts,

rfe;

p, I his

me,

S Of

ters,

abi-

au-

all the

r the

own

112

wor-

es or

ilem-

t and

if his

Tion,

and

hour

riells

What

e ian

nfib

COL

ce II

y ; 2.7

her

rered mile:

ar

ch o

By the time that I returned to the town, the court was up and gone to dinner; a juitice of peace and another person met me, and would have me to eat and drink with them, which I did; and afterwards, as I was walking along the street, some persons have up a great fash window, and invited me up to them; and when I entered the room I found ten or a dozen persons, most of them justices of the peace; and amongst them a priest, whom they called Doctor. One of the justices took me by the hand, and faid, Mr. Elwall, I am heartily glad to fee you, and I was glad to hear you bear your testimony so boldly as you did. Yea, fays another justice, and I was glad to see Mr. Elwall come off with flying colours as he did: Upon which the priest faid (in a very bitter manner) He ought to have been hanged. I turned unto him, and faid, Friend, I perceive thou doft not know what spirit thou art of: for the sin of man came not to destroy, but to save: But thou wouldst have me destroyed. Upon which one of the justices said, How now,

Doctor, did not you hear one of the justices fay, that he was an honest man, and that what he faid, was not by hearfay, but by experience, and would you have honeil men hanged, Doctor? Is this good doctrine? So that the priest faid but little more for some time : so I took leave of the justices and took horse for Wolverhampton, for I knew there would be great joy in my family, for the common people all expected to hear of my being fined and inprisoned. But a farmer that lived near, who had been upon the jury at Stafford, got to town before me, and the people went all up and asked him, What have they done to Mr. Elwall? Have they put him in prison; He answered "No, he preached there an hour together, and our "parfons could fay never a word. What must they put 'him in prison for? I told our foreman of the jury, Mr. 66 Elwall was an honest man, and his father was an honest

"nian, I knew him very well," fo they were all damped. But there was a great joy in my family, and amongst all my friends: Praises, living praises be attributed to that good God who delivered me out of their hands!

Christ never told us of that scandalous popish invention, of his human nature praying to his divine nature; but like a true obedient fon of God, submitted to death, even that cruel death which the hatred and envy of perfecuting wicked priefts inflicted on him, because he had so plainly and truly told them all their blindness, covetousness, pride, and hypocrify. And therefore God raifed bim from the dead; and for his faithful. efs, God has exalted him to be a prince and a faviour to all those that obey that pure doctrine which God gave him to teach; that denying ungodliness and finful lusts, we should live siberly and right outly in this world. Then are we his distiples indeed, when we do those things that he hath commanded. Then shall we be faved, not by the merits of Christ, that is another popish invention; for he never did any thing but what was his duty to do, and therefore could not merit any thing for others; but he taught us the true way to find acceptance with God, and that was by doing the will of his Father which is in heaven; and therein

re

G

L

G

therein he is the way, the truth, and the life, because no one

cometh unto the Father, but by that way.

t he

t by

neil

the

cave

rI

om.

ini-

een

the

e to

red

OUr

put

Mr.

neit

ed.

gft

to

m,

inc

en

rg

ly

is,

mo

Be

C-

is

SS

1e

le

d,

e

d

Neither did he make satisfaction unto God for us. It was impossible; and what God never required: But be who had no pleasure in the death of finners, but rather that they should turn from wickedness and live, out of the immeasurable height and depth of his love, directed our Lord Jesus Christ to teach mankind a never-failing way of being reconciled to God; and that was by fincere repentance and reformation. This was the gospel, or good tidings, of Jefus Christ, Repent ye, for the kingdom of beaven is at band. He tells us, I am not come to call the righteous but finners to repentance; and by that beautiful excellent parable of the prodigal fon, he illustrates the tender mercy of his God, and our God, of his Father and our Father, without any fatisfaction. The compassionate Father required none at all, but humble confession and submission, with sincere repentance, and reformation, and then comes the best robe, the ring, the skoes, and the fatted calf, to demonstrate the paternal acceptance, without fatisfaction or facrifice, but a broken and a contrite beart which he will never refuse; for he can as foon cease to be God, as cease to be merciful.

And as to the trinitarians, nothing is more plain, than that they feed upon ashes; a deceived heart bath turned them afide, because they will not make use of those rational faculties which God hath given them; nor fay, Is there not a be in my right hand? otherwise they would never flatter the humble Jejus, nor make the most high God to be a plu-

rality of persons.

For as to the Holy Ghost (their third God) it is evidently no distinct person from God, any more than a man's fpirit is a dittinct person from the man; so that the spirit of God is God's spirit; as is manifest from scripture and reason, Gen. vi. 3. My spirit shall not always strive with man: And the spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters: And God faid let there be light, and there was light. And God faid, Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters. And God made all things by the word of his power. So that the word of God, and the spirit of God, are not distinct persons from

God, but the power of God, and the energy of God, So the word of a man, and the spirit of a man, are on distinct persons from the man, but the man himself; if his word be salte, or his spirit be wicked, the man is salse and wicked.

The same degree of stupidity that leads trinitarians to call the word of God, and the spirit of God, distinct persons, would lead them to call the wisdom of God, the goodness of God, the love of God, the peace of God, the power of God, and mercy of God, distinct persons; and make God to be a trinity of trinities; for it is certain, Go

is expressly called by all those names.

But whosoever goes about to father this absurd and he rid doctrine of the trinity upon I fus Christ, do egreg outly abuse him; who told us plainly, his Father was greate than he; and that he could do nothing of himself, which is demonstration that he is not God: For we are sure God omnipotent, and can do all things of himself; being selection and independent, the supreme Creator of the universe; and in this it is, that the unitarians triumphe unanswerable, believing in Jesus Christ, who told us he Father was the only true God, John xvii. 3.

P. S. By these last words of Christ, I myself was co

vinced many years ago.

FINIS.